

## References

- BURGEN, A.S.V. & HILEY, C.R. (1975). The use of an alkylating antagonist in investigating the properties of muscarinic receptors. In: *Cholinergic Mechanisms*, ed. Waser, P.G., pp. 381-385. New York: Raven Press.
- MILLER, R.J. & HILEY, C.R. (1974). Antimuscarinic

- properties of neuroleptics and drug-induced Parkinsonism. *Nature*, **248**, 596-597.
- SEEMAN, P. (1972). The membrane actions of anaesthetics and tranquilizers. *Pharmac. Rev.*, **24**, 583-655.
- YOUNG, J.M. (1974). Desensitization and agonist binding to cholinergic receptors in intestinal smooth muscle. *FEBS Letters*, **46**, 354-356.

## Is the latency of parasympathetic nerve effects due to a slow activation of muscarinic receptors? An iontophoretic study.

T.B. BOLTON

*Department of Pharmacology, Oxford, OX1 3QT*

The latency between parasympathetic nerve stimulation and the response of the smooth muscle membrane is in the range 0.07-0.4 s (Gillespie, 1962; M.R. Bennett, 1966; Ohashi & Ohga, 1967; T. Bennett, 1969; Furness, 1969; Ito & Kuriyama, 1971). A possible explanation of this latency is that it is caused by a combination of slow conduction and synaptic delays in the nerve pathways by which excitation reaches the muscle membrane. If this was the case then one would expect the response to iontophoretically applied acetylcholine or carbachol to have a latency much shorter than 0.1 second.

Experiments were therefore done in which carbachol was applied from iontophoretic pipettes (resistance about 200 M $\Omega$ ) to the surface of smooth muscle from guinea-pig ileum and taenia in isotonic or in sucrose hypertonic physiological salt solution. Simultaneously intracellular records were made from the smooth muscle by microelectrode which was inserted as close as possible to the tip of the carbachol pipette. In most experiments the distance between electrode and pipette was probably not more than 20  $\mu$ m.

In isotonic solution spikes were often discharged spontaneously. When carbachol was applied iontophoretically no latencies less than about 0.1-0.2 s were observed. The depolarization produced by carbachol invariably triggered a spike or spikes and the electrode was usually dislodged by the contraction of the muscle.

In sucrose hypertonic solution the muscle does not contract and the membrane potential is stable. The sensitivity to carbachol (either applied in the perfusate or iontophoretically) was reduced. Iontophoretic application of carbachol produced small depolarizations of up to 10 mV. Their latency was again never less than 0.1-0.2 seconds.

In these experiments carbachol was released onto the surface of the muscle strip. Presumably therefore it must first cross a layer of serosal cells before it can affect the muscle. By 0.1 s after beginning release of carbachol, it can be calculated that a patch of muscle surface some 100  $\mu$ m in diameter must be exposed to a suprathreshold concentration of carbachol in these experiments. The observed latency could be explained by invoking some special property of the serosa or associated connective tissue. However, Purves (1974) observed similar latencies when acetylcholine was applied iontophoretically to cultured taenia smooth muscle cells where presumably serosa is absent. A simple explanation of the latencies observed in his experiments and in mine, or following parasympathetic nerve stimulation, is that it represents mainly the time required to produce the responses associated with activation of the muscarinic receptor.

This was done during the tenure of a Royal Society Locke Research Fellowship and was supported by the MRC.

## References

- BENNETT, M.R. (1966). Transmission from intramural excitatory nerves to the smooth muscle cells of the guinea-pig taenia coli. *J. Physiol. Lond.*, **185**, 132-147.
- BENNETT, T. (1969). Nerve-mediated excitation and inhibition of the smooth muscle cells of the avian gizzard. *J. Physiol. Lond.*, **204**, 669-686.
- FURNESS, J.B. (1969). An electrophysiological study of the innervation of the smooth muscle of the colon. *J. Physiol. Lond.*, **205**, 549-562.
- GILLESPIE, J.S. (1962). The electrical and mechanical responses of intestinal smooth muscle cells to stimulation of their extrinsic parasympathetic nerves. *J. Physiol. Lond.*, **162**, 76-92.
- ITO, Y. & KURIYAMA, H. (1971). The properties of the rectal smooth muscle membrane of the guinea-pig in relation to the nervous influences. *Jap. J. Physiol.*, **21**, 277-294.
- OHASHI, H. & OHGA, A. (1967). Transmission of excitation from the parasympathetic nerve to the smooth muscle. *Nature Lond.*, **216**, 291-292.
- PURVES, R.D. (1974). Muscarinic excitation: a micro-electrode study on cultured smooth muscle cells. *Br. J. Pharmac.*, **52**, 77-86.